Application No. 10/590,142

Supplemental Amendment dated February 20, 2008

Reply to Office Action of October 24, 2007

REMARKS

Docket No.: 80660(47762)

Claims 1-13 are now pending in the above-identified application. No claims have been

amended.

This response supplements the Response submitted on January 24, 2008. The January 24, 2008,

Response addressed the rejection of claims 1, 4, 5, 8, and 10-12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based

on U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0017322 to Kim, et al., in view of U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0018892 to Satake, et al. In addition, the following remarks

address the §103(a) rejections of claim 3 based on Kim and Satake, in further view of U.S.

Patent No. 4,764,426 to Nakamura, et al.; and claims 2, 7, and 9 based on Kim, in view of U.S.

Patent No. 6,479,153 to Kato, et al. No additional comments are made with respect to the first

rejection.

No new matter has been presented, and no new issues have been raised. Reconsideration of the

above-identified application in view of the Response dated January 24, 2008, and the following

remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - U.S.C. §103

In the Office Action, claim 3 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0017322 to Kim, in view of U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0018892 to Satake, et al., in further view of U.S. Patent

No. 4,764,426 to Nakamura, et al.

In addition to the remarks regarding Kim and Satake filed in the January 24, 2008, response

DC 238090.1 2/13/08

2

Application No. 10/590,142 Supplemental Amendment dated February 20, 2008

Reply to Office Action of October 24, 2007

*** * * * *

addressing the first rejection, the applicants present the following:

Nakamura was cited for the disclosure of polyoxyalkylene glycol. However, Nakamura fails

Docket No.: 80660(47762)

to compensate for the insufficient disclosure of Kim and Satake, namely (1) direct bonding of

the base material to the leather-like skin material without the use of an adhesive; (2) the

leather-like film layer bonded directly to the base material; (3) the leather-like skin layer formed

by a resin composition containing a colorant; and (4) a colorant containing a polyol as a

vehicle (B-1) and a pigment (B-2).

Furthermore, Nakamura is directed to polyester fiber, not an adhesive for solvent-free artificial

leather-skin layer, as in Satake, or artificial leather, as in Kim. Given the entirely different

materials and purposes of "silk-like" polyester fiber, adhesive, and artificial leather, no

motivation is provided in Kim, Satake, or Nakamura to modify its teachings in a manner that

would result in a colorant comprising pigment and the claimed polyol rendering the claimed

invention obvious.

Therefore, Kim, Satake, and Nakamura, combined, fail to teach or suggest the claimed process

for producing a leather-like sheet as recited in claim 1 and each dependent claim thereof,

including claim 3.

Accordingly, the applicants respectfully submit that **Kim**, **Satake**, and **Nakamura** fail to render

obvious the invention recited in claim 3, and request reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection.

In the Office Action, claims 2, 7, and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Kim, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,479,153 to Kato, et al.

DC 238090.1 2/13/08 3

Application No. 10/590,142

Supplemental Amendment dated February 20, 2008

Reply to Office Action of October 24, 2007

Kato was cited for the disclosure of polytetramethylene glycol and xylylene diisocyanate.

However, Kato fails to supply the missing elements of Kim, described above, and, therefore,

without more, the combined disclosure of Kato and Kim fail to teach or suggest the claimed

invention recited in claims 2, 7, and 9.

Accordingly, the applicants respectfully submit that the combination of Kim and Kato fail to

render obvious the invention recited in claims 2, 7, and 9, and request reconsideration and

withdrawal of this rejection.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for

allowance.

Dated: February 20, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

James E. Armstrong, IV

Registration No.: 42,266

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE

Docket No.: 80660(47762)

VLLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, Massachusetts 02205

(202) 478-7370

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

DC 238090.1 2/13/08

4